

Organisation name Speakeasy School of English, London					
Inspection date	spection date 19–20 September 2017				
Section standard Met Not met					
Management: The management of the provision will operate to the benefit of its students, in accordance with its publicity and in accordance with the Declaration of legal and regulatory compliance.		⊠			
Resources and environment: The learning resources and environment will support and enhance the studies of students enrolled with the provider, and will offer an appropriate professional environment for staff.			$\boxtimes$		
Teaching and learning: will be given sufficient su of their students. Program students. The teaching of	neets the needs or the benefit of	$\boxtimes$			
Welfare and student services: The needs of students for security, pastoral care, information and leisure activities will be met; any accommodation provided will be suitable; the management of the accommodation systems will work to the benefit of students.					
Care of under 18s section	on	N/a	Met	Not met	
There will be appropriate students under the age o any leisure activities or activitie	$\boxtimes$				
Recommendation					
We recommend continued accreditation.					
We recommend continue	d accreditation.				
We recommend continue  Summary statement	d accreditation.				
Summary statement  The British Council inspe Scheme assesses the sta and accredits organisatio	d accreditation.  cted and accredited Speakeasy Schandards of management, resources ns which meet the overall standard ducation/accreditation for details).	and premises, tea	ching, welfare, and		
Summary statement  The British Council inspe Scheme assesses the sta and accredits organisatio www.britishcouncil.org/ed	cted and accredited Speakeasy Sch andards of management, resources ns which meet the overall standard	and premises, tea in each area inspe	ching, welfare, and		
Summary statement  The British Council inspe Scheme assesses the state and accredits organisatio www.britishcouncil.org/ed  This private language sch	cted and accredited Speakeasy Schandards of management, resources ns which meet the overall standard ducation/accreditation for details).	and premises, tea in each area inspe	ching, welfare, and cted (see		
Summary statement  The British Council inspe Scheme assesses the state and accredits organisation www.britishcouncil.org/ed  This private language scheme inspection report not seem to be summarized by the state of the seem to be summarized by the s	cted and accredited Speakeasy Schandards of management, resources ns which meet the overall standard ducation/accreditation for details).	and premises, tea in each area inspe	ching, welfare, and cted (see		

# Organisation profile

Inspection history	Dates/details
First inspection	October 2009
Last full inspection	March 2013
Subsequent spot check (if applicable)	N/a
Subsequent supplementary check (if applicable)	N/a
Subsequent interim visit (if applicable)	N/a
Current accreditation status	Accredited
Other related non-accredited activities (in brief) at this centre	N/a
Other related accredited schools/centres/affiliates	N/a
Other related non-accredited schools/centres/affiliates	N/a

# **Private sector**

Date of foundation	September 2002
Ownership	Name of company: Speakeasy (School) Ltd Company number: 4500049
Other accreditation/inspection	N/a

Premises profile
Address of main site

_ Fremises prome	
Address of main site	24 Chiswick High Road,
	London W4 1TE
Details of any additional sites in use at the time of the	N/a
inspection	
Details of any additional sites not in use at the time of	N/a
the inspection	
Profile of sites visited	The school is located in west London on the border of
	the London boroughs of Hounslow, and Hammersmith
	and Fulham. It occupies the first, second and third floors
	of a terraced nineteenth century former town house, and
	is on good bus and underground transport routes. A
	wide range of shops, restaurants and other services is
	located nearby. From street level a staircase leads to
	the first floor reception/office, where the directors and
	the teachers are based. Directly above this is a kitchen
	area and two toilets. There are four classrooms on the
	two upper floors, and on the first floor there is a student
	common room with drinks and snack machines, and a
	student lounge. On the second floor there is a small staff
	workroom.

Student profile	At inspection	In peak week: March (organisation's estimate)
Of all international students, approximate percentage on ELT/ESOL courses	100	100
ELT/ESOL students (eligible courses)	At inspection	In peak week
Full-time ELT (15+ hours per week) 18 years and over	3	10
Full-time ELT (15+ hours per week) aged 16–17 years	N/a	N/a
Full-time ELT (15+ hours per week) aged under 16	N/a	N/a
Part-time ELT aged 18 years and over	67	102
Part-time ELT aged 16–17 years	N/a	N/a
Part-time ELT aged under 16 years	N/a	N/a
Overall total ELT/ESOL students shown above	70	112
Junior programmes: advertised minimum age	N/a	N/a
Junior programmes: actual minimum age	N/a	N/a
Junior programmes: advertised maximum age	N/a	N/a

Junior programmes: actual maximum age	N/a	N/a
Junior programmes: predominant nationalities	N/a	N/a
Adult programmes: advertised minimum age	18+	18+
Adult programmes: actual minimum age	18+	18+
Adult programmes: typical age range	20–40	20–30
Adult programmes: typical length of stay	12 weeks	4 weeks
Adult programmes: predominant nationalities	Spanish, Brazilian, Polish	Spanish, Brazilian, Polish
Number on PBS Tier 4 General student visas	N/a	N/a
Number on PBS Tier 4 child visas	N/a	N/a
Number on short-term study visas	14	30

Staff profile	At inspection	In peak week (organisation's estimate)
Total number of teachers on eligible ELT courses	8	10
Number teaching ELT 20 hours and over a week	4	
Number teaching ELT 10–19 hours a week	1	
Number teaching ELT under 10 hours a week	3	
Number of academic managers for eligible ELT courses	1	1
Number of management (non-academic) and administrative staff working on eligible ELT courses	2	
Total number of support staff	1	

# Academic manager qualifications profile

Profile at inspection	
Professional qualifications	Number of academic managers
TEFLQ qualification	1
Academic managers without TEFLQ qualification or 3 years relevant experience	0
Total	1
Comments	

The academic manager covers for absent teachers but is not normally timetabled to teach.

# Teacher qualifications profile

Profile in week of inspection				
Professional qualifications	Number of teachers			
TEFLQ qualification	0			
TEFLI qualification	7			
Holding specialist qualifications only (specify)	0			
YL initiated	0			
Qualified teacher status only (QTS)	0			
Teachers without appropriate ELT/TESOL qualification	1			
Total	8			
Comments				

None.

Course profile

Eligible activities	Year round		Vacation		Other - N/a	
	Run	Seen	Run	Seen	Run	Seen
General ELT for adults	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$				
General ELT for juniors (under 18)						
English for academic purposes (excludes IELTS preparation)						
English for specific purposes (includes English for Executives)						
Teacher development (excludes award-bearing courses)						
ESOL skills for life/for citizenship						
Other						

# Comments

General English courses follow the Callan Method (CM) and are delivered in blocks of two, three or four lessons a day; each lesson is 50 minutes long. Classes are timetabled throughout the day and evening, starting at 09.30 and ending at 21.20. Classes may range from CM Stage 1 to Stage 12. The majority of the students enrol for 15 lessons per week (12.5 hours). Classes leading to three international examinations are offered; at the time of the inspection an IELTS class was running for 12.5 hours in the afternoons. Speakeasy usually delivers between two and four examination preparation classes a year at two levels.

**Accommodation profile** 

Number of students in each at the time of inspection (all students on eligible courses)				
Types of accommodation	Adults	Under 18s		
Arranged by provider/agency				
Homestay	4	N/a		
Private home	N/a	N/a		
Home tuition	N/a	N/a		
Residential	0	N/a		
Hotel/guesthouse	0	N/a		
Independent self-catering e.g. flats, bedsits, student houses	0	N/a		
Arranged by student/family/guardian				
Staying with own family	0	N/a		
Staying in privately rented rooms/flats	66	N/a		
Overall totals adults/under 18s	70	N/a		
Overall total adults + under 18s	70			

# Introduction

Speakeasy School of English is family-owned and managed and is a Callan Method Accredited School. Most of Speakeasy's students are already resident in west London, and many work in the community. Most find out about the school through word of mouth.

All the non-examination classes employ the CM, which has scripted lesson content with an emphasis on speaking, listening and reading. Language is repeated, recycled and revised, and the method prescribes a different teacher for each lesson on a given day. The school is thus able to offer students a flexible timetable, attending morning,

afternoon or evening, or a mixture of all three, to fit with their personal circumstances and working patterns. All students take a trial lesson before committing themselves to the course, so they are aware of the methodology they will experience.

The school offers homestay accommodation through two London accommodation agencies registered with the British Council, so it was not necessary for inspectors to make homestay visits. However, one inspector checked the accommodation systems and the liaison procedures between the agencies and the school, and phoned the most-used agency to discuss these arrangements.

The inspection lasted a day and a half. The inspectors interviewed the two directors about their various roles, and held meetings with a group of students and a group of teachers. Although eight teachers were employed in the week of the inspection, only five were timetabled on the inspection days. These were all observed teaching, one of them twice.

# Management

Legal and statutory regulations

Staff management

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
M2 Management structure		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
M3 Duties specified		$\boxtimes$	N/a	$\boxtimes$	
M4 Communication channels		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
M5 Human resources policies		$\boxtimes$			
M6 Qualifications verified		$\boxtimes$	N/a		
M7 Induction procedures		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
M8 Monitoring staff performance		$\boxtimes$			
M9 Professional development		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	

#### Comments

M2 The management structure is simple and clear and well understood by all staff and students. One director is responsible for finance, administration and welfare, and the other for academic management and fulfils the role of principal. Both share the reception duties, although they are supported by a part-time receptionist who is employed at peak times. Both directors are very familiar with the other's role and are able to cover for each other, so continuity is ensured. They form an effective leadership team.

M3 Job descriptions are minimalist, but incorporate post-holders' main duties and responsibilities. The director with responsibility for welfare includes in his role the duties of safeguarding officer for vulnerable adults, and this is reflected in his job description.

M4 Communication between staff and management was characterised as excellent by the teachers. Although a room is available for teachers' quiet work, teachers and management by design share an office, which encourages interaction. This informal communication is supported by occasional, regular formal minuted meetings. Due to the all-day timetabling not all staff are able to attend all meetings, but minutes are prominently displayed. Managers have regular meetings with the team at the CM organisation in London, and judged that they were able to exert some influence on the company. Some teachers were less confident that the CM organisation listened and acted on feedback.

M7 A thorough five-day induction procedure is in place, so that teachers unfamiliar with the CM can observe and teach alongside a more experienced colleague. New staff are gradually eased into the full teaching load, with support from the principal in terms of observation and feedback. Staff commented favourably on the time spent and effort made with induction.

M9 There is a formalised policy, and staff are encouraged to attend external conferences and follow webinars and professional events online. Discussion of pedagogic issues takes place at staff meetings. (See also T10.)

Student administration					
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
M10 Administrative staff and resources		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
M11 Information on course choice		$\boxtimes$			
M12 Enrolment procedures		$\boxtimes$			
M13 Contact details		$\boxtimes$			
M14 Student attendance policy		$\boxtimes$			
M15 Students asked to leave course		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
Comments					
M10 Both directors share the administrate paper records are kept. M14 The attendance and punctuality pol M15 There is a substantial section in the asked to leave a course, but for students 'breach of conduct' needs to be clarified	icy is clearly set student handbooks the terminolog	t out in the stude book relating to c y such as 'indis	ent handbook, a conditions under cipline', 'inappro	and this is adhe r which students opriate behavior	red to by staff.
Quality assurance					
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
M16 Action plan		$\boxtimes$	N/a		
M17 Continuing improvement		$\boxtimes$			
M18 Student feedback and action					
M19 Staff feedback and action					

# Comments

M20 Complaints and action

M16 The majority of the many points had been conscientiously addressed, leading generally to much tighter systems and procedures, thus placing the operation of the school on a more professional foundation. However, no comment is made of any action taken, or not, in relation to the absence of review of the CM course design, or the absence of a hand-basin in one of the toilets. The school has in practice no control over the former, and there is no space for the latter. There are handwashing facilities in the nearby kitchen.

X

 $\boxtimes$ 

M17 The actions taken in response to the previous inspection's points to be addressed indicate a commitment to continuing improvement. Changes made to the website, the drawing up of job descriptions, the introduction of tutorials, and the complaints procedure, among other things, provide evidence of this. Contents of the minutes of staff meetings provide further evidence of the school's desire to develop.

M18 Initial feedback is now collected and, together with an end-of-course satisfaction survey, informs changes in the school.

M19 There is now a clear complaints procedure set out in the student handbook.

**Publicity** 

rublicity					
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
M21 Accessible accurate language					
M22 Realistic expectations		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
M23 Course descriptions		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
M24 Course information		$\boxtimes$	N/a	$\boxtimes$	
M25 Costs		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
M26 Accommodation		$\boxtimes$			
M27 Leisure programme		$\boxtimes$			

M28 Staff qualifications	$\boxtimes$	N/a	
M29 Accreditation		N/a	
Comments			

The main source of publicity is the website. In addition, the school displays a CM pamphlet in the foyer published by the Callan Organisation.

M22 Information about the school and its services are generally accurate. There is, however, a photograph of the upper storeys of the school which gives rise to the expectation that the premises are a town house. This is actually the historical case, but currently the ground floor has been converted into shops, and so the visual effect is very different.

M23 The description of the courses on the website is limited, but acceptable. However, there are two claims in the pamphlet which are potentially misleading. Firstly, under '10 excellent reasons to choose the Callan Method' it states: 'You hear natural speech.' The delivery speed, at considerably more than the 120 words per minute linguists estimate is the norm, belies this claim. Secondly, under 'Fast learning and test success' the pamphlet states that '...by the end of stage 10 you've studied everything you need for a B2 test (e.g. Cambridge English: First – FCE).' Since the CM includes minimal focus on writing skills, this is not the case.

M24 The minimum enrolment age is mentioned in the introductory paragraph on the home page, but is not highlighted elsewhere except in the FAQs. Similarly the maximum class size is only stated in the FAQs. Both need to be given more prominence.

M25 Clarification is needed of the situation in relation to examination fees and the course fee, and whether the latter includes the former.

# Management summary

The provision meets the section standard. Staff management is well handled, and communication within the school is good. Student administration is thorough, although the conditions under which students may be asked to leave a course need refining. Quality assurance has improved greatly since the last inspection. Publicity on the school's website gives a fair picture of the work of the school. However, the Callan Method pamphlet makes two claims which are difficult to substantiate. Staff management is an area of strength.

#### Resources and environment

# Premises and facilities

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
R1 Adequate space				$\boxtimes$	
R2 Condition of premises				$\boxtimes$	
R3 Classrooms and learning areas				$\boxtimes$	
R4 Student relaxation areas and food				$\boxtimes$	
R5 Signage and display					
R6 Staffroom(s)				$\boxtimes$	

#### Comments

- R1 The premises provide a comfortable and professional environment for staff and students.
- R2 The school is in good decorative order, and has double-glazing, so the classrooms are protected from the noise from the main road. There are framed pictures on the walls which personalise the surroundings, while not detracting from the professional atmosphere. There is still no hand-basin in one of the toilets, a point that was made in the previous report. However, hand-washing facilities are available in the adjacent kitchen. See also M16.
- R3 The classrooms can accommodate the present student cohort, and two rooms can accommodate the maximum class size of 14. At the time of the inspection, classes were being interrupted by considerable noise from construction work in the adjacent building, but teachers were able to move affected classes to the student common room.
- R4 Students have a room with snack and drinks machines, and a large pleasant room at the front of the building which links to the refreshment room and which has both armchairs and upright seating. There is a small kitchen for student and staff use, and a wide range of food outlets in Chiswick High Road.
- R6 The teachers share the office on the first floor with the managers. Since the CM requires little preparation and no resources other than the coursebook, staff do not need as much working space as in a more conventional school. There is a small room on the second floor which staff may use for quiet work.

R7 Learning materials for students	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
TV Learning materials for students		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
R8 Resources for teachers	$\boxtimes$				
R9 Educational technology					
R10 Self-access facilities		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
R11 Library/self-access guidance					$\boxtimes$
R12 Review and development					
Comments R7 The school has a full set of the CM co	oursehooks and	the Teacher's	hook and some	coursebooks r	elating to
students to use their own electronic equip to an 'interactive student practice area' we commented that they used this facility an R10 The student lounge offers an area for R12 The minutes of the teachers' meeting exam preparation classes. The managers changes have been made since 2012.  Resources and environment summary The provision meets the section standard personalised. Students have a spacious at that are required by the Callan method, but the comment is the section standard that are required by the Callan method, but the comment is the section standard that are required by the Callan method, but the comment is the section standard that are required by the Callan method, but the comment is the section standard that are required by the Callan method, but the comment is the section standard that the section st	rhich students nd found it helpfor quiet study, bgs reveal that ds pass on views	nay access on toul.  but there are no liscussion takes on the CM textos are generally vion and private	heir mobiles or self-access fac place over whits to the Callan well maintained study. The school study.	tablets. Some stilities. ch coursebook organisation, be and have been bol provides the	to choose for ut no effectively resources
Teaching and learning					- 1
-					- 1
Academic staff profile	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
Academic staff profile Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength N/a		
Academic staff profile Criteria T1 General education (and rationales)				comments	
Academic staff profile Criteria T1 General education (and rationales) T2 ELT/TESOL teacher qualifications				comments	
Academic staff profile Criteria T1 General education (and rationales) T2 ELT/TESOL teacher qualifications T3 Rationales for teachers			N/a	comments	
Academic staff profile Criteria T1 General education (and rationales) T2 ELT/TESOL teacher qualifications T3 Rationales for teachers T4 Profile of academic manager(s)			N/a	comments	
Academic staff profile Criteria T1 General education (and rationales) T2 ELT/TESOL teacher qualifications T3 Rationales for teachers T4 Profile of academic manager(s) T5 Rationale for academic manager(s) Comments T1 The rationale for the teacher without acame to the school with some years of lift T2 One teacher does not have an ELT qualification and the school with some without acame to the school with some years of lift T3 The rationale for the teacher without acame to the school with some years of lift T3 The rationale for the teacher without acame to the school with some years of lift T3 The rationale for the teacher without acame to the school with some years of lift T3 The rationale for the teacher without acame to the school with some years of lift T4 One teacher does not have an ELT qualification academic manager (s)	a Level 6 qualifie e experience of ualification.	Cation was acceptation which was acceptation was acceptation which was acceptation was acceptation which was a	N/a  N/a  N/a  N/a  N/a  Pepted within the cetted within the cette	comments  Comments  Comments  Comments	N/a  U  Simple strength of the
Academic staff profile Criteria T1 General education (and rationales) T2 ELT/TESOL teacher qualifications T3 Rationales for teachers T4 Profile of academic manager(s) T5 Rationale for academic manager(s) Comments T1 The rationale for the teacher without a came to the school with some years of lift T2 One teacher does not have an ELT quality T3 The rationale for the teacher without a has QTS and many years' experience in	a Level 6 qualifie e experience of ualification.	Cation was acceptation which was acceptation was acceptation which was acceptation was acceptation which was a	N/a  N/a  N/a  N/a  N/a  Pepted within the cetted within the cette	comments  Comments  Comments  Comments	N/a  U  Simple strength of the

T6 Deployment of teachers

T8 Cover for absent teachers

T7 Timetabling

 $\boxtimes$ 

 $\boxtimes$ 

 $\boxtimes$ 

 $\boxtimes$ 

 $\boxtimes$ 

 $\boxtimes$ 

T11 Observation and monitoring				$\boxtimes$				
Comments								
T6 All staff are able to teach CM classes, and the method requires that each 50-minute class has a different teacher for each lesson on a given day. Exam preparation classes rotate between the members of the teaching team. Hence deployment is essentially based on availability.  T7 Classes are assigned according to the size of the classroom and the number in the group.  T8 Absences are covered by other members of staff, or by the principal.  T9 Students may enrol on any weekday. Nothing in the CM course design allows for integration of new students, and there is nothing in the staff handbook which gives any guidance in this area. Structures are, however, recycled and repeated. New students have all observed a lesson, so they have been exposed to the method already, and teachers explained that they departed from the strict CM by easing them into the method gently, by, for example, leaving them till last in the question sequence.  T11 Teachers are observed by the principal at least twice a year, and she provides both oral and written feedback. Teachers commented that they found these, and the peer observations they undertook, invaluable in honing their techniques. An assessor from CM head office also regularly monitors teachers' performance either through the use of recordings or live observation, and gives authoritative written feedback on the adherence to the CM.								
Course design and implementation  Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See	N/a			
T12 Principled course structure				comments				
T13 Review of course design				   				
T14 Course outlines and outcomes								
T15 Study and learning strategies								
T16 Linguistic benefit from UK								
T12 The CM is based on a clear methodology, which is explained in detail in the staff handbook, and the CM Teachers' Guide. There is, however, very little in either publication which states the underlying linguistic theory on which the method is based, and there is no syllabus which forms the foundation for the CM coursebooks, although levels have been mapped by the CM organisation to the Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR) in terms of linguistic items. There is a course structure in the sense that the coursebook supplies a script which the teacher must adhere to.  T13 The Callan Organisation updated the coursebooks in 2012 when certain vocabulary items were deleted, certain questions changed and certain cultural elements modified. However, other elements have not been reviewed or changed since then.  T14 Course outlines in the form of the CM coursebooks are available to students, but these do not identify any learning outcomes. The CEFR mapping relates to discrete linguistic items, not to 'can do' statements, so does not constitute learning outcomes as such.  T15 The CM makes no reference to, and takes no account of, independent learning and strategies to achieve autonomy. In non-CM lesson segments and plans no reference was made to learning strategies.  T16 The CM courses do not include strategies which enable students to develop their skills outside the classroom. However, the school has tried to respond to this absence in CM classes by introducing voluntary free sessions of								
T13 The Callan Organisation updated the questions changed and certain cultural echanged since then. T14 Course outlines in the form of the Clearning outcomes. The CEFR mapping constitute learning outcomes as such. T15 The CM makes no reference to, and autonomy. In non-CM lesson segments at T16 The CM courses do not include strategies.	elements modified  M coursebooks relates to discre  I takes no accourand plans no reitegies which en	ed. However, other are available to ete linguistic iter unt of, independate ference was matable students to	students, but the students, but the students, but the students, but the students are students learning and to learning students learning s	nese do not idel lo' statements, d strategies to a trategies. kills outside the	viewed or ntify any so does not achieve			
T13 The Callan Organisation updated the questions changed and certain cultural exchanged since then. T14 Course outlines in the form of the Clearning outcomes. The CEFR mapping constitute learning outcomes as such. T15 The CM makes no reference to, and autonomy. In non-CM lesson segments at T16 The CM courses do not include strain However, the school has tried to responde	elements modified  M coursebooks relates to discre  I takes no accourand plans no reitegies which en	ed. However, other are available to ete linguistic iter unt of, independate ference was matable students to	students, but the students, but the students, but the students, but the students are students learning and to learning students learning s	nese do not ider nese do not ider lo' statements, d strategies to a trategies. kills outside the voluntary free s	viewed or ntify any so does not achieve			
T13 The Callan Organisation updated the questions changed and certain cultural exchanged since then. T14 Course outlines in the form of the Clearning outcomes. The CEFR mapping constitute learning outcomes as such. T15 The CM makes no reference to, and autonomy. In non-CM lesson segments at T16 The CM courses do not include strated the theorem.	elements modified  M coursebooks relates to discre  I takes no accourand plans no reitegies which en	ed. However, other are available to ete linguistic iter unt of, independate ference was matable students to	students, but the students, but the students, but the students, but the students are students learning and to learning students learning s	nese do not idel lo' statements, d strategies to a trategies. kills outside the	viewed or ntify any so does not achieve			
T13 The Callan Organisation updated the questions changed and certain cultural exchanged since then. T14 Course outlines in the form of the Clearning outcomes. The CEFR mapping constitute learning outcomes as such. T15 The CM makes no reference to, and autonomy. In non-CM lesson segments at T16 The CM courses do not include strated to the conversation.  Learner management	elements modified  M coursebooks relates to discress  I takes no accourand plans no relategies which en to this absence	ed. However, other are available to ete linguistic iter unt of, independerence was materials able students to e in CM classes	students, but the students, but the students, but the students, but the student learning and the to learning students by introducing	nese do not ider lo' statements, d strategies to a trategies. skills outside the voluntary free s	ntify any so does not achieve e classroom.			
T13 The Callan Organisation updated the questions changed and certain cultural exchanged since then. T14 Course outlines in the form of the Clearning outcomes. The CEFR mapping constitute learning outcomes as such. T15 The CM makes no reference to, and autonomy. In non-CM lesson segments at T16 The CM courses do not include strated to the themselves, the school has tried to respond themed conversation.  Learner management Criteria	Not met	ed. However, other are available to be the linguistic item unt of, independent ference was marked able students to be in CM classes.  Met	students, but the students, but the students, but the students, but the student learning and the to learning students by introducing	nese do not ider lo' statements, d strategies to a trategies. kills outside the voluntary free s	ntify any so does not achieve e classroom.			
T13 The Callan Organisation updated the questions changed and certain cultural exchanged since then. T14 Course outlines in the form of the Clearning outcomes. The CEFR mapping constitute learning outcomes as such. T15 The CM makes no reference to, and autonomy. In non-CM lesson segments at T16 The CM courses do not include strated to the themselves, the school has tried to respond themed conversation.  Learner management Criteria T17 Placement for level and age	elements modified  M coursebooks relates to discre  I takes no accourand plans no relategies which en discourance to this absence.	ed. However, other are available to ete linguistic iter unt of, independented ference was material able students to e in CM classes.  Met	students, but the students, but the students, but the students, but the student learning and the to learning students by introducing	sive not been revenues do not idea do strategies to a trategies. It is outside the voluntary free secomments	ntify any so does not achieve e classroom.			
T13 The Callan Organisation updated the questions changed and certain cultural exchanged since then. T14 Course outlines in the form of the Clearning outcomes. The CEFR mapping constitute learning outcomes as such. T15 The CM makes no reference to, and autonomy. In non-CM lesson segments at T16 The CM courses do not include strated to the themselves, the school has tried to respond themed conversation.  Learner management  Criteria  T17 Placement for level and age T18 Monitoring students' progress	Not met	ed. However, other are available to be the linguistic item unt of, independent ference was marked able students to be in CM classes.  Met	students, but the students, but the students, but the students, but the student learning and the to learning students by introducing	sive not been revenues do not idea do strategies to a trategies. It is outside the voluntary free secomments	ntify any so does not achieve e classroom.			
T13 The Callan Organisation updated the questions changed and certain cultural exchanged since then. T14 Course outlines in the form of the Clearning outcomes. The CEFR mapping constitute learning outcomes as such. T15 The CM makes no reference to, and autonomy. In non-CM lesson segments at T16 The CM courses do not include strated to the themselves, the school has tried to respond themed conversation.  Learner management  Criteria  T17 Placement for level and age T18 Monitoring students' progress T19 Examination guidance	Not met	ed. However, other are available to be the linguistic item unt of, independent ference was marked able students to the in CM classes.  Met	students, but the students, but the students, but the students, but the student learning and the to learning students by introducing	sive not been revenuese do not idea lo' statements, destrategies to a trategies. Skills outside the voluntary free secomments	ntify any so does not achieve e classroom.			

 $\boxtimes$ 

T9 Continuous enrolment

 $\boxtimes$ 

#### Comments

T17 The school uses the prescribed CM placement test which consists of a dictation, 33 questions, and a pronunciation test, all parts being weighted equally. The school adds an informal oral interview which is not assessed, as it is not part of the methodology.

T18 The school tests the students regularly after a 12-week course. Tutorials were introduced in March 2017 on an optional basis, and students usually ask for them after the results of the progress test. A tutorial form is competed with objectives, but some of these are rather general, and others have not been followed up.

T21 Academic reports are provided on request.

T22 There is a link on the the school's website to the British Council guide to higher education, and one of the directors can give further support.

# Classroom observation record Number of teachers seen 5 Number of observations 6

CM at three stages, morning and evening classes; IELTS preparation

# Comments

Four CM segments and two IELTS preparation segments were seen, the latter taught by the same teacher. This was because no other non-CM teaching was timetabled, and both inspectors needed to observe a non-CM segment.

#### Classroom observation

Parts of programme(s) observed

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
T23 Models and awareness of English in use		$\boxtimes$			
T24 Appropriate content	$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	
T25 Learning outcomes	$\boxtimes$				
T26 Teaching techniques		$\boxtimes$			
T27 Classroom management		$\boxtimes$			
T28 Feedback to students		$\boxtimes$			
T29 Evaluating student learning		$\boxtimes$			
T30 Student engagement		$\boxtimes$			

# Comments

T23 Teachers were able to present the linguistic items covered in the CM coursebook. Where students obviously did not understand a word, this was clarified by the teacher, although sometimes complex definitions, integral to the CM, obscured meaning. There was a clear focus on pronunciation, and accurate spoken models were provided, though these were usually decontextualised and therefore lacked pragmatic meaning. Sometimes at sentence level pronunciation was distorted because of the teacher's emphatic stress on words mispronounced by the student. T24 The CM course material is generic so the specific needs and cultural backgrounds of the students are not accounted for by the CM. Many of the target language utterances in the question-and-answer phase lack naturalness. Course objectives are not made explicit.

T25 No learning outcomes are identified either implicitly or explicitly on lesson plans, either overall or for the stages of the lesson in the CM. The method requires that students are not given any information about outcomes, and nor are they to be 'warmed up' to the content of the lesson.

T26 The principal techniques required by the method are the very rapid delivery of questions and answers, repetition, correction of all errors, reading aloud, and dictations of semantically unrelated sentences. Teachers in the CM lessons were confident in their use of voice, gesture, repetition, pace and intensity, all required features of the method. Scripts were followed without deviation, as the CM advocates. But the techniques restrict teachers from meeting individual student needs. In non-CM segments, questioning techniques were limited, with insufficient precision in elicitation and concept-checking.

T27 Resources in the CM are the teachers' scripts, which are delivered standing from a lectern, the students' books and a simple wall chart. Although some classrooms were fitted with whiteboards, these were not used in the CM lessons. Within this context, and within the parameters of the CM, teachers managed their scripts, their limited resources and their students well. In non-CM segments the exam material chosen was appropriate but not fully exploited, and the whiteboard was under used.

T28 Instant correction by the teacher is a hallmark of the CM, and all student slips, errors and slow responses are immediately corrected. So feedback took the form of systems correction, and lots of encouragement and praise

when students managed repetitions and responses effectively. Weaker students who were prone to hesitate seldom had the opportunity to produce a complete utterance, or self-correct.

T29 Again within the narrow parameters prescribed, limited evaluation did take place, for example, when presenting a new lexical item, the item was modelled, then demonstrated and exemplified and then a question asked to check understanding/learning. The non-CM segments did not plan for, or contain in execution, review of the learning that had taken place in the various stages of the lesson, or in the whole lesson.

T30 Teachers all impressed their varied personalities on the lesson, and were authoritative presences in their classrooms. They maintained the pace and time pressure, thus limiting students' thinking time, as is required by the CM. Interactions are prescribed as teacher to student and vice versa, so the method is teacher-fronted, and many of the responses required from the students were not formulated by the student but were repetitions of the teacher's answers to their own questions. Despite this, there was generally a positive atmosphere in the classrooms, and the students were engaged and responsive.

#### Classroom observation summary

The teaching observed met the requirements of the Scheme and ranged from good to weak, with the majority satisfactory. Knowledge of the linguistic systems of English, as exemplified in the Callan coursebooks, was sound. Lesson content did not take account of the needs and cultural background of the students, and no learning outcomes were identified. In most lesson segments observed, techniques were appropriate for the chosen methodology, and students were generally engaged. Limited feedback was provided to students, primarily through constant correction, and there were restricted opportunities to evaluate learning. A co-operative learning atmosphere prevailed, and students were positive about the progress they were making.

# **Teaching and learning summary**

The provision meets the section standard. The academic manager is appropriately qualified and experienced in the Callan Method, and leads her team effectively with sufficient support and guidance, but the methodology restricts them from fully meeting the learning needs of their students. Course design does not take account of more recent developments in the ELT profession, particularly in the area of individual student needs. Programmes of learning are managed in line with the CM, and a tutorial system is in development. The teaching observed met the requirements of the Scheme. There is a need for improvement in Course design.

# Welfare and student services

# Care of students

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
W1 Safety and security onsite		$\boxtimes$			
W2 Pastoral care		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
W3 Personal problems		$\boxtimes$			
W4 Dealing with abusive behaviour		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
W5 Emergency contact number		$\boxtimes$	N/a		
W6 Transport and transfers		$\boxtimes$			
W7 Advice		$\boxtimes$			
W8 Medical and dental treatment		$\boxtimes$	N/a		

### Comments

W1 Measures are in place to ensure the safety and security of students on school premises. Building risk assessments are up to date, and reviewed regularly. Fire drills are held four times per year, and recorded. Both directors are trained in first aid. The front-door video entry system was being repaired at the time of the inspection, but the front door was being monitored closely from the office, which is always staffed when the school is open. W2 Pastoral care is very good. All school staff prioritise the well being of the students and there was evidence of a consistently supportive approach to pastoral issues. The two directors are easily accessible in the office, and staff join the students in the student lounge at break times. A classroom is made available as a prayer room if requested, and there is information about places of worship in the student handbook.

W3 There are photographs of the two directors on the noticeboard in the student lounge. Students also meet them face to face during their induction.

W4 There is a policy for dealing with abusive behaviour, and a student code of conduct with a focus on promoting tolerance and respect. The policy is made known to staff in their handbook. Although the policy is also in the student handbook, the language is too difficult in places for lower-level learners to understand. There is a policy related to

the Prevent strategy, and training for all staff has been provided. W7 The student handbook contains a wide range of very useful information about living in the UK. Some sections make good use of visuals, but in places the language is too difficult for lower-level learners to understand. Accommodation profile Comments on the accommodation seen by the inspectors The school works with two accommodation agencies, both registered with the British Council, to offer homestay accommodation to its students. Almost all the school's students find their own accommodation so demand for homestay accommodation is very low. One inspector checked the systems in place in the school for working with the agencies, and contacted the most-used agency to confirm that the working relationship was a constructive one. The school's contact person at the agency confirmed that working with the school was very positive in all respects. Accommodation: all types See Not met Met N/a Criteria Strength comments W9 Services and facilities X П  $\boxtimes$ W10 Accommodation inspected first W11 Accommodation re-inspected  $\Box$  $\square$  $\Box$ W12 Accommodation registers П  $\square$  $\boxtimes$  $\boxtimes$ W13 Information in advance П  $\boxtimes$  $\boxtimes$ W14 Student feedback W15 Meals in homestay/residences  $\boxtimes$ Comments W13 All the required information is in the confirmation of accommodation produced by the agency. W14 Students complete an initial questionnaire in their first week, and there is informal checking throughout their course that they are happy in their accommodation. There was evidence on file of occasional issues being dealt with very promptly and professionally, and recorded. There is no end-of-course questionnaire relating to accommodation. Accommodation: homestay See Criteria Not met Met Strength N/a comments  $\boxtimes$ W16 No more than four students N/a П  $\boxtimes$  $\boxtimes$  $\boxtimes$ W17 Rules, terms and conditions W18 Shared bedrooms  $\boxtimes$ N/a П  $\Box$ W19 Students' first language X П N/a W20 Language of communication  $\boxtimes$ N/a X W21 Adult to welcome N/a Comments W17 The documentation and guidance provided by the agency for hosts is exemplary. **Accommodation: residential** See Criteria Not met Met Strength N/a comments W22 Cleaning П  $\boxtimes$ П

W23 Health
Comments

None.

 $\boxtimes$ 

Δ	CC	om	m	$\sim$ d	əti	٥n	٠-	Ot	h	١r
м		OHI	ш	UU	au	OH	١	OL	He	:1

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
W24 Information and support					
W25 Other accommodation			N/a		

#### Comments

W24 Information and support is available from the two directors, including putting students into contact with rental agencies, and checking of rental contracts.

Leisure opportunities

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
W26 Information and access		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
W27 Leisure programmes				$\boxtimes$	
W28 Health and safety		$\boxtimes$			
W29 Responsible person					

# Comments

W26 There is information about cultural and sporting events in London, many of them free, on the noticeboard in the student lounge, in leaflets displayed in the school and on the school's social media site.

W27 The school does not offer a leisure programme, but evening quiz nights are organised several times a year, and these are very popular with students. The school also promotes excursions organised by an external travel agency.

# Welfare and student services summary

The provision meets the section standard. Measures are in place to ensure the safety and security of students on school premises. There is a very caring and friendly atmosphere in the school, and pastoral care is good. Homestay accommodation is provided through agencies which are registered with the British Council. Students are given a good range of information about living in the UK, and about cultural events taking place in London.