



Organisation name	Ingla School of English, London				
Inspection date	11–12 October 2016				
Section standard Met Not met					
Management: The management of the provision will operate to the benefit of its students, in accordance with its publicity and in accordance with the Declaration of legal and regulatory compliance.					
Resources and environment: The learning resources and environment will support and enhance the studies of students enrolled with the provider, and will offer an appropriate professional environment for staff.					
Teaching and learning: Teachers will have appropriate qualifications and will be given sufficient support to ensure that their teaching meets the needs of their students. Programmes of learning will be managed for the benefit of students. The teaching observed will meet the requirements of the Scheme.			\boxtimes		
Welfare and student services: The needs of students for security, pastoral care, information and leisure activities will be met; any accommodation provided will be suitable; the management of the accommodation systems will work to the benefit of students.					
Care of under 18s sect	ION	N/a	Met	Not met	
students under the age	There will be appropriate provision for the safeguarding of tudents under the age of 18 within the organisation and in ny leisure activities or accommodation provided.				

Summary statement

We recommend continued accreditation.

Recommendation

The British Council inspected and accredited Ingla School of English in October 2016. The Accreditation Scheme assesses the standards of management, resources and premises, teaching, welfare, and care of under 18s and accredits organisations which meet the overall standard in each area inspected (see www.britishcouncil.org/education/accreditation for details).

This private language school offers courses in general English for adults (18+).

Strengths were noted in the areas of course design, teaching and leisure opportunities.

The inspection report stated that the organisation met the standards of the Scheme.

Organisation profile

Inspection history	Dates/details
First inspection	September 2012
Last full inspection	September 2012
Subsequent spot check (if applicable)	October 2013 and November 2014
Subsequent supplementary check (if applicable)	N/a
Subsequent interim visit (if applicable)	N/a
Current accreditation status	Accredited
Other related non-accredited activities (in brief) at this centre	None
Other related accredited schools/centres/affiliates	None
Other related non-accredited schools/centres/affiliates	None

Private sector

Date of foundation	2010
Ownership	English and Skills Training London Ltd Company number: 7343266
Other accreditation/inspection	N/a

Premises profile

Address of main site	1 Wellington Terrace, Turnpike Lane, London N8 0PX
Details of any additional sites in use at the time of the inspection	None
Details of any additional sites not in use at the time of the inspection	None
Profile of sites visited	The school occupies rented premises on two floors above shops near Turnpike Lane, in north London. The school premises are set back from the main road in a row of shops and entry to the school is by staircase from street level. On the first floor there is a reception area, a classroom/resources room, a small staff kitchen, male and female toilets and a second classroom at the back of the building. There are two classrooms on the second floor.

Student profile	At inspection	In peak week: April (organisation's estimate)
Of all international students, approximate percentage on ELT/ESOL courses	100	100
ELT/ESOL students (eligible courses)	At inspection	In peak week
Full-time ELT (15+ hours per week) 18 years and over	25	40
Full-time ELT (15+ hours per week) aged 16–17 years	0	0
Full-time ELT (15+ hours per week) aged under 16	0	0
Part-time ELT aged 18 years and over	30	40
Part-time ELT aged 16–17 years	0	0
Part-time ELT aged under 16 years	0	0
Overall total ELT/ESOL students shown above	55	80
Minimum age	18	18
Typical age range	20–35	20–35
Typical length of stay	12 weeks	12 weeks
Predominant nationalities	Spanish, Turkish, Italian	Spanish, Turkish, Italian
Number on PBS Tier 4 General student visas	0	0
Number on PBS Tier 4 child visas	0	0
Number on short-term study visas	2	8

Staff profile	At inspection	In peak week (organisation's estimate)
Total number of teachers on eligible ELT courses	9	11
Number teaching ELT under 10 hours/week	2	
Number teaching ELT 10–19 hours/week	6	
Number teaching ELT 20 hours and over/week	1	
Total number of administrative/ancillary staff	1	

Academic staff qualifications to teach ELT/ESOL

Profile in week of inspection				
Professional qualifications	Total number of teachers			
Diploma-level ELT/TESOL qualification (TEFLQ)	2			
Certificate-level ELT/TESOL qualification (TEFLI)	6			
Holding specialist qualifications only (specify)	0			
YL initiated	0			
Qualified teacher status only (QTS)	0			
Rationale(s) required for teachers without appropriate ELT/TESOL qualifications	1			
Total	9			

These figures include the academic manager(s)

Comments

The figures include the director of studies (DoS) who has a regular teaching commitment on her timetable.

Course profile

Eligible activities	Year round		Vacation		Other - N/a	
	Run	Seen	Run	Seen	Run	Seen
General ELT for adults	\boxtimes	\boxtimes				
General ELT for juniors (under 18)						
English for academic purposes (excludes IELTS preparation)						
English for specific purposes (includes English for Executives)						
Teacher development (excludes award-bearing courses)						
ESOL skills for life/for citizenship						
Other						

Comments

The school runs morning, afternoon and evening courses in general English for adults (18+). Preparation classes for external English examinations and IELTS are also run. At the time of the inspection there were three general English classes and one IELTS class running in the morning, three general English classes in the afternoon and two in the evening. One-to-one tuition is offered but none was running during the inspection.

Accommodation profile

Number of students in each at the time of inspection (all students on eligible courses)					
Types of accommodation	Adults	Under 18s			
Arranged by provider/agency					
Homestay	N/a	N/a			
Private home	N/a	N/a			
Home tuition	N/a	N/a			
Residential	N/a	N/a			
Hotel/guesthouse	N/a	N/a			
Independent self-catering e.g. flats, bedsits, student houses	N/a	N/a			
Arranged by student/family/guardian					
Staying with own family	10	N/a			
Staying in privately rented rooms/flats	45	N/a			
Overall totals adults/under 18s	55	N/a			
Overall total adults + under 18s	55				

Introduction

Ingla School of English was accredited in 2012 under its previous name of English and Skills Training London (ESTL). The company was set up in 2010 by three directors. In November 2015 one director left, and in February 2016 a second director left the company. The current principal is now the sole owner. ESTL continues to be the registered company name but the school changed its name to Ingla School of English in July 2016, and a new website was set up.

Some staff changes have been implemented since July 2016 involving additional responsibilities for three members of the teaching staff; the staff concerned combine their responsibilities with a half-day teaching programme. A centre manager post was created with responsibilities for the day-to-day operational management of the school, as well as for student welfare. A second teacher has administrative responsibilities and a third is the enrichment lead, responsible for students' experience outside the classroom. A new DoS was appointed in July 2016, replacing a DoS who made regular, but not daily, visits to the school.

The school continues to provide courses mainly for students living and working in the local community, including EU students who come to the UK to work. Many students live quite close to the school. The school offers students flexibility when booking courses: they can choose morning, afternoon or evening, and can enrol for two, three, four or five days a week. If they wish, they can enrol for a three-hour session per day, or for only a ninety-minute session per day. The school does not accept students under 18, nor does it provide accommodation.

The inspection took place over a day and a half, and part of an evening. The inspectors talked to the principal, the centre manager, the DoS, the administrative officer and the enrichment lead. All teachers were observed and focus group meetings were held with students and teachers.

Management

Legal and statutory regulations

Legal and Statutory regulations	
Criteria	See comments
M1 Declaration of compliance	\boxtimes

_			_	
C_{i}	nm	١m	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$	nts

M1 The items sampled were satisfactory.

Staff management

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
M2 Management structure				\boxtimes	
M3 Duties specified			N/a		
M4 Communication channels			\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
M5 Human resources policies					
M6 Qualifications verified			N/a	\boxtimes	
M7 Induction procedures			\boxtimes		
M8 Monitoring staff performance					
M9 Professional development				\boxtimes	

Comments

M2 The new management team structure is clear and known to all staff. The centre manager and DoS both have teaching commitments but the team is a very close-knit one and they can cover easily for each other.

M3 There were no job descriptions for the principal, centre manager or enrichment lead. These were drawn up and sent to the inspectors immediately following the inspection and the situation is now satisfactory.

M4 Communication in the school is good. There are monthly, minuted meetings of the management team, with an agenda drawn up by the team. The DoS runs regular teachers' meetings. Much day-to-day communication is informal, including using emails and online applications. Staff said they felt well informed and felt that their opinions were valued.

M6 There were no references on file, and no copy of a Level 6 certificate, for a newly appointed teacher. For most other teachers there was only one reference on file. There was no ID on file for two recently appointed members of staff.

M7 Induction procedures are in place and are spread over the first few months of a member of staff's employment. There were completed checklists on file and a newly appointed teacher confirmed that her induction had been useful. Staff also receive a very comprehensive handbook.

M9 The school takes staff training seriously. All staff received first aid training in April 2016 and have completed Prevent training online; training has also been undertaken in fire safety and data protection. There are regular teachers' continuing professional development (CPD) sessions. The school contributes financially when staff attend external training events.

Student administration

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
M10 Administrative staff and resources		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	
M11 Information on course choice		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	
M12 Enrolment procedures		\boxtimes			
M13 Contact details		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	
M14 Student attendance policy		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	
M15 Students asked to leave course		\boxtimes			

Comments

M10 The administrative officer has the support of the principal and centre manager, one of whom covers for her when she is teaching in the afternoon. She has a further timetabled hour at the end of the afternoon to review the day's administrative work. Student information requested by the inspectors was retrieved easily from the school's database.

M11 The management team all have ELT qualifications so are well able to advise students on their course choices. M13 The records sampled showed all the required information to be complete.

M14 The school has clear policies in place on attendance and punctuality. All registers seen were up to date. Teachers exercise appropriate discretion in relation to punctuality since many students have work or family commitments which can affect their ability to arrive on time.

Quality assurance

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
M16 Action plan		\boxtimes	N/a		
M17 Continuing improvement		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	
M18 Student feedback and action		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	
M19 Staff feedback and action		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	
M20 Complaints and action				\boxtimes	

Comments

M17 Since the setting up of the new management team in July 2016, every aspect of the school's operation has been under review. The inspectors received a very comprehensive self-evaluation document reflecting the work completed so far.

M18 Students fill in an initial and an end-of-course questionnaire, both of which are expressed in very accessible language. The data is reviewed and action taken where appropriate. Action taken is sometimes recorded in minutes of meetings but there is no consistent approach to this.

M19 Staff can give feedback at meetings and in appraisals. A staff feedback form has been introduced recently. M20 The school has an appropriate complaints policy but it is not written in a style and language that is accessible to students.

Publicity

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
M21 Accessible accurate language		\boxtimes			
M22 Realistic expectations		\boxtimes			
M23 Course descriptions		\boxtimes			
M24 Course information		\boxtimes	N/a		
M25 Costs					
M26 Accommodation					\boxtimes
M27 Leisure programme		\boxtimes			
M28 Staff qualifications	\boxtimes		N/a	\boxtimes	
M29 Accreditation		\boxtimes	N/a		

Comments

The school's publicity materials comprise a website, a printed brochure and social media pages.

M21 The publicity materials are written in an accessible style and level of English.

M24 The times of classes are conveyed by means of useful timetables.

M25 The approximate cost of the leisure programme not included in the course fees is not given.

M28 The website states that all teachers are 'Cambridge qualified' and have a minimum of three years' experience.

This was not true of the teaching team at the time of the inspection. The statement was removed immediately following the inspection and this is no longer a point to be addressed.

Management summary

The provision meets the section standard. The management structure is clear, communication is good and student administration is efficient. The management of the provision operates to the benefit of its students and largely in accordance with its publicity.

Resources and environment

Premises and facilities

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
----------	---------	-----	----------	--------------	-----

R1 Adequate space	П	\boxtimes		П	
R2 Condition of premises					
R3 Classrooms and learning areas					
R4 Student relaxation areas and food					
R5 Signage and display					
R6 Staffroom(s)					
Comments					
one of the many cafés very close by. Stu and socialise with the staff; in good weat adequate as almost all students only stu R6 There is no staffroom but teachers ca it is not being used for classes. There are in. There is a small staff kitchen.	ther they can als dy for part of the an use the class	so use the smalle day. sroom/resources	I park opposite	the school. This ime, and at othe	provision is er times when
Learning resources				See	
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	comments	N/a
R7 Learning materials for students					
R8 Resources for teachers					
R9 Educational technology					
R10 Self-access facilities					
R11 Library/self-access guidance		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	
R12 Review and development		\boxtimes			
Comments					
R7 Students are required to buy a course a coursebook. There is a good stock of subsequence preparation materials, photocopiable materials, photoc	supplementary r terials, visual re with laptop. The	materials includi sources and ga other three cla	ng skills and vo imes. ssrooms have l	cabulary books	e is one

Resources and environment summary

The provision meets the section standard. The premises and facilities are satisfactory and provide an appropriate environment for students and staff. The learning resources enhance the studies of students enrolled with the provider.

Teaching and learning

Academic staff profile

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
T1 General education (and rationales)	\boxtimes		N/a	\boxtimes	
T2 ELT/TESOL teacher qualifications	\boxtimes			\boxtimes	

T3 Rationales for teachers			N/a	\boxtimes				
T4 Profile of academic manager(s)		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes				
T5 Rationale for academic manager(s)			N/a		\boxtimes			
Comments								
T1 Two teachers did not have a Level 6 context of this inspection as she has severationale for Teacher B was not accepted See T2. T2 One teacher (Teacher B in T1 above) Scheme. T3 The rationale provided was accepted experience in the UK and abroad and had the course did not fully meet Scheme red T4 The DoS is suitably qualified, is an appears' relevant experience.	eral years' exped as there was in the context of the followed an induirements. The	erience in co-ord no evidence of h n ELT qualificat of this inspection nitial EFL trainin ere is good supp	dinating roles in his engagement ion which meets in. Teacher B has g course with teacher from the Do	secondary edu with post-scho s the requireme s 13 years' tead eaching practice S.	cation. The ol education. Ints of the ching e, even though			
Academic management								
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a			
T6 Deployment of teachers		\boxtimes		\boxtimes				
T7 Timetabling		\boxtimes						
T8 Cover for absent teachers		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes				
T9 Continuous enrolment		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes				
T10 Formalised support for teachers								
T11 Observation and monitoring		\boxtimes		\boxtimes				
Comments								
To The DoS matches teachers to courses based on their experience and teaching style, as well as taking into account their preferences. The inspectors had some concerns about the level allocated to a newly qualified teacher, however. To Cover arrangements are good. The DoS and other members of the management team are available when not teaching, and teachers teaching morning classes can also cover afternoons and/or evenings. The principal is TEFLQ and is also available for cover. To Students can enrol on any day and for any session during the day or evening. There is some good guidance for teachers on dealing with continuous enrolment in their handbook, and the week's list of can-do statements is used effectively on a daily basis to keep students informed of learning objectives. Additional catch-up work, and information about work already completed by the class, is distributed to new students using an online application. To There is a CPD policy in place. Weekly teachers' meetings are held to discuss general academic issues; the DoS also runs regular development sessions on practical aspects of teaching, the topics for which are often linked to her reflections on the teaching she observes. To There is a control or any day and for any session during the day or evening. There is some good guidance for teachers on dealing with continuous enrolment in their handbook, and the week's list of can-do statements is used effectively on a daily basis to keep students informed of learning objectives. Additional catch-up work, and information about work already completed by the class, is distributed to new students using an online application. To There is a CPD policy in place. Weekly teachers' meetings are held to discuss general academic issues; the DoS also runs regular development sessions on practical aspects of teaching, the topics for which are often linked to her reflections on the teaching she observes.								
Course design and implementation								
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a			
T12 Principled course structure		\boxtimes						
T13 Review of course design		\boxtimes		\boxtimes				
T14 Course outlines and outcomes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes				
T15 Study and learning strategies		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes				
T16 Linguistic benefit from UK		\boxtimes	\boxtimes					
Comments								
T12 Courses are based on coursebooks	, together with a	a set of course of	bjectives for ea	ich level.				

T13 Coursebooks change every 12 weeks so that long-stay students do not repeat books. Teachers discuss their preferences for coursebooks with the DoS at the end of each term.

T14 Teachers draw up a set of weekly learning outcomes in language accessible to their students. These are displayed in the classrooms and each student receives a copy. Teachers were seen using the outcomes in creative ways. See T25.

T15 The DoS has implemented a system of weekly learning strategies for all students, which are displayed on noticeboards around the school. She supports teachers in integrating the strategies into their weekly course plans. Teachers are encouraged to learn and use the phonemic script to help students with pronunciation strategies. Students are also given information about useful online learning resources.

T16 The school's varied enrichment programme gives students the opportunity to visit the local area and its social and cultural amenities, accompanied by their teachers and always undertaken with a language focus.

Learner management

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
T17 Placement for level and age		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	
T18 Monitoring students' progress		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
T19 Examination guidance		\boxtimes			
T20 Assessment criteria		\boxtimes			
T21 Academic reports		\boxtimes			
T22 Information on UK education		\boxtimes			
Comments					

T17 The school's placement test, which includes a speaking component, works well. Students can also book a free trial lesson before they enrol to check the suitability of the class and level.

Classroom observation record

Number of teachers seen	9
Number of observations	9
Parts of programme(s) observed	Morning, afternoon and evening classes; general English and IELTS
Comments	
None.	

Classroom observation

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
T23 Models and awareness of English in use		\boxtimes	\boxtimes		
T24 Appropriate content		\boxtimes			
T25 Learning outcomes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes		
T26 Teaching techniques		\boxtimes			
T27 Classroom management		\boxtimes	\boxtimes		
T28 Feedback to students		\boxtimes	\boxtimes		
T29 Evaluating student learning		\boxtimes			
T30 Student engagement		\boxtimes	\boxtimes		

Comments

T18 Students' progress is monitored carefully. There are mid-term and end-of-term exams, as well as weekly tests, with all results recorded. Students also have tutorials twice a term when they are asked to self-assess their progress, and then discuss and set learning targets with their teacher.

T23 All teachers demonstrated a sound knowledge of the use of English and were able to provide accurate written and spoken models. Explanations were clear and relevant. Almost all teachers made judicious and expert use of phonemic script and wrote up new vocabulary with helpful information, such as stress marks and parts of speech.

T24 Class profiles showed a good understanding of the learning needs and styles of students in each class. Lesson plans involved a focus on spoken and written skills, appropriate for students living and working in the city. T25 Learning outcomes for each week, detailed in a *What can I do?* table, were used at the start and end of lessons to help students identify new language, reflect on their previous knowledge, predict possible difficulties and evaluate their performance. In this way teachers were able to differentiate tasks and assess the need for further practice. Lesson plans contained coherent and varied sequences of activities.

T26 A good range of teaching techniques was seen. Some good elicitation and prompting was observed and instructions were clear and sometimes checked; most teachers also used concept checking questions well. Students were given time to process new language and produce longer utterances. Occasionally teachers reformulated and repeated what students had said, which led to too much teacher talking time.

T27 A wide range of resources was used, including the coursebook, audio, handouts, video clips and pictures. Most teachers used the coursebook as a springboard for activities, rather than working through it in a predictable way. Whiteboard work was generally good and well organised; some purposeful use of colour was seen.

T28 Teachers monitored students' language well and gave positive and encouraging feedback. Correction was handled well by means of techniques such as encouraging self- and peer-correction, correction by echo and gesture, and note-taking by the teacher to support delayed correction.

T29 Almost all lessons included activities, such as exercises, dialogues and role plays, to help students and teachers evaluate whether learning had taken place.

T30 It was clear that teachers knew their students well and were able to motivate and challenge them, including in evening classes when students arrived tired after a day's work. Teachers managed activities well, setting up and monitoring pair work and small groups, and actively listening to students' contributions. Although in one instance the poor grading of teacher language led to some confusion among students as to what they were doing, other teachers used language always appropriate to the level of their learners. Rapport between teachers and students was excellent.

Classroom observation summary

The teaching observed met the requirements of the Scheme and ranged from unsatisfactory, in one instance, to very good, with the majority of segments observed being assessed as good. Teachers' knowledge of the language was sound and lesson planning was detailed and thorough, with a good focus on learning outcomes. Teachers used a range of techniques and resources. Feedback to students was skilful and students were engaged in their learning. *Teaching* is an area of strength.

Teaching and learning summary

The provision meets the section standard and exceeds it in some respects. Almost all teachers have appropriate ELT qualifications and are given good support to ensure their teaching meets the needs of their students. Course design is clear and there are effective systems in place to help students develop their English outside the classroom. Students' progress is monitored appropriately. The teaching observed met the requirements of the Scheme. *Course design* and *Teaching* are areas of strength.

Welfare and student services

Care of students

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
W1 Safety and security onsite		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	
W2 Pastoral care		\boxtimes	\boxtimes		
W3 Personal problems		\boxtimes			
W4 Dealing with abusive behaviour		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	
W5 Emergency contact number		\boxtimes	N/a		
W6 Transport and transfers					\boxtimes
W7 Advice		\boxtimes			
W8 Medical and dental treatment		\boxtimes	N/a		

Comments

W1 Provision is appropriate for the adult students in the school and it is clear that staff take their responsibilities seriously. The main door, which opens directly on to the street, is kept unlocked to allow students to come and go easily but the main reception area is always staffed, including while evening classes are running. The layout of the

building is such that access beyond the reception area is impossible for any unauthorised visitors. Trained first aiders are always on site and the school policy is to ensure all staff undertake first aid training. Records of fire drills sampled were up to date and evacuation procedures clear. Regular building risk assessments are carried out but these could be more clearly dated and action taken recorded.

W2 Pastoral care is well managed and students' well being is a school priority. All staff have a caring attitude towards students, who are made aware of the kinds of support available when they enrol. Students in the focus groups were very aware of who to approach with any problems and were sure that help, support and guidance would be offered if needed. There is a comprehensive log of individual guidance offered to students and a record of advice given and any follow up action taken. The attention paid to the pastoral care of students and students' well being is particularly impressive given that students are adults, generally settled in the local area with a local support network.

W3 Information about who to go to with a specific problem is clearly displayed on noticeboards and in the student handbook.

W4 Policies and procedures are in place and widely available in staff and student handbooks and on noticeboards. Staff are fully aware of their responsibilities relating to the Prevent strategy. Information provided to students could be made simpler for students with lower levels of English.

W6 This criterion is not applicable. Stude internationally. W8 Advice on rights to medical and dent noticeboards. Staff actively remind stude living and working in the UK.	al treatment is o	given in the stud	dent handbook a	and displayed o	n
Accommodation profile					
Comments on the accommodation seen	by the inspecto	rs			
The school does not provide accommod	ation. Students	live locally and	make their own	arrangements.	
Accommodation: all types					
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
W9 Services and facilities					\boxtimes
W10 Accommodation inspected first					\boxtimes
W11 Accommodation re-inspected					\boxtimes
W12 Accommodation registers					\boxtimes
W13 Information in advance					\boxtimes
W14 Student feedback					\boxtimes
W15 Meals in homestay/residences					\boxtimes
Comments					
None.					
Accommodation: homestay					
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
W16 No more than four students			N/a		\boxtimes
W17 Rules, terms and conditions					\boxtimes
W18 Shared bedrooms			N/a		\boxtimes
W19 Students' first language			N/a		\boxtimes
W20 Language of communication			N/a		\boxtimes
W21 Adult to welcome			N/a		\boxtimes
Comments					
None					

Accommodation: residential					
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
W22 Cleaning					
W23 Health					\boxtimes
Comments					
None.					
Accommodation: other					
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
W24 Information and support					
W25 Other accommodation			N/a		\boxtimes
Comments					
None.					
Leisure opportunities					
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
W26 Information and access		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
W27 Leisure programmes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
W28 Health and safety			\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
W29 Responsible person		\boxtimes			

W26 There is a wealth of information available about activities freely available in the local area, and useful websites for students to follow up to get the most out of their stay in London. This information is displayed on the noticeboards and in the student handbook. The school organises trips and excursions, free wherever possible or at the lowest possible cost to students, with follow-up reports and photographs displayed on noticeboards and on various social media platforms.

W27 The 'enrichment programme' offered by the school is an impressive leisure and language enhancement programme. Students participate enthusiastically in the activities organised by staff and spoke very highly of the quality and variety of the programme, which includes pub nights, excursions to places of interest in the local area, visits to museums and theatres in London and trips further afield. Teachers accompany the students on all outings and build in language learning activities and classroom follow up. Regular feedback after each trip allows future planning and refinement of the programme.

W28 Comprehensive risk assessments are in place for all activities and qualified first aiders are present at all times. A social media group is created for each activity so that staff and students are always in contact and any last minute updates or changes can be conveyed instantly.

Welfare and student services summary

Comments

The provision meets the section standard and exceeds it in some respects. Students are very well cared for by all staff in the school and good information and support is provided. The leisure programme organised by the school is varied and well organised and students are given ample opportunities to make the most of their stay in London and the UK. *Leisure opportunities* is an area of strength.