

Criteria of evaluation:

Mandatory criteria	Weighting
Relevant Graduate Degree	Y/N
Relevant General Experience	Y/N
Academic Qualification	10%
Relevant Prior Experience	45 %
Understanding of role and approach for delivery (Assessment during interviews)	45 %

Scoring Model – Your submission will be subject to an initial review to assess if it meets the mandatory requirements described above. Any submission which does not meet these requirements will be rejected in full at this point and will not be assessed or scored further. Please note that at any time during the evaluation process the panel can ask for additional evidence or information. Submissions not so rejected will be scored by an evaluation panel appointed by the British Council for all criteria using the following scoring model:

Points	Interpretation
10	Excellent – Overall the submission demonstrates that the consultant meets all areas of the requirement and provides all of the areas evidence requested in the level of detail requested. This, therefore, meets all aspects of the requirement leaving no ambiguity as to whether the consultant can meet the requirement.
7	Good – Overall the submission demonstrates that the consultant meets all areas of the requirement and provides all evidence requested but contains some trivial omissions in relation to the level of detail requested in terms of either the submission or the evidence. This, therefore, is a good response that meets all aspects of the requirement with only a trivial level ambiguity due the consultant's failure to provide all information at the level of detail requested.
5	Adequate – Overall the submission demonstrates that the consultant meets all areas of the requirement, but not all of the areas of evidence requested have been provided. This, therefore, is an adequate response, but with some limited ambiguity as to whether the consultant can meet the requirement due to the failure to provide the evidence requested.
3	Poor – The response does not demonstrate that the consultant meets the requirement in one or more areas. This, therefore, is a poor response with significant ambiguity as to whether the consultant can meet the requirement due to the failure by the consultant to show that it meets one or more areas of the requirement.
0	Unacceptable – The response is non-compliant with the requirements of the ToRs and/or information about required areas has not been provided.