

Organisation name	Country Cousins, Ilfracombe						
Inspection date	25–26 July 2017						
Section standard			Met	Not met			
Management: The management of the provision will operate to the benefit of its students, in accordance with its publicity and in accordance with the Declaration of legal and regulatory compliance.							
Resources and environment: The learning resources and environment will support and enhance the studies of students enrolled with the provider, and will offer an appropriate professional environment for staff.			$\boxtimes$				
Teaching and learning: will be given sufficient sup of their students. Program students. The teaching ob	neets the needs or the benefit of						
Welfare and student services: The needs of students for security, pastoral care, information and leisure activities will be met; any accommodation provided will be suitable; the management of the accommodation systems will work to the benefit of students.							
Care of under 18s section	Care of under 18s section N/a Met Not met						
There will be appropriate particular students under the age of any leisure activities or activities or activities.	<u>⊠</u>						
Recommendation							
We recommend continued	d accreditation.						
Summary statement							
The British Council inspected and accredited Country Cousins in July 2017. The Accreditation Scheme assesses the standards of management, resources and premises, teaching, welfare, and care of under 18s and accredits organisations which meet the overall standard in each area inspected (see <a href="https://www.britishcouncil.org/education/accreditation">www.britishcouncil.org/education/accreditation</a> for details).							
This private language teaching organisation offers courses in general English for under 18s.							
Strengths were noted in the areas of student administration, quality assurance, course design, care of students, leisure opportunities and care of under 18s.							
The inspection report stated that the organisation met the standards of the Scheme.							

# Organisation profile

Inspection history	Dates/details
First inspection	2010
Last full inspection	July 2013
Subsequent spot check (if applicable)	July 2014
Subsequent supplementary check (if applicable)	N/a
Subsequent interim visit (if applicable)	N/a
Current accreditation status	Accredited
Other related non-accredited activities (in brief) at this centre	Guardianship, sports activities and academic placements
Other related accredited schools/centres/affiliates	N/a
Other related non-accredited schools/centres/affiliates	Ilfracombe Academy (for academic placements)
Private sector  Date of foundation	1951 as a homestay provider only, 1973 as a language
	school
Ownership	Country Cousins Ltd Company number: 05696793
Other accreditation/inspection	N/a
Premises profile	
Address of main site	Channel School of English, Bicclescombe Park, Ilfracombe EX34 8JN
Details of any additional sites in use at the time of the inspection	N/a
Details of any additional sites not in use at the time of the inspection	N/a
Profile of sites visited	The company owns the premises in lifracombe, which

inspection	
Details of any additional sites not in use at the time of	N/a
the inspection	
Profile of sites visited	The company owns the premises in Ilfracombe, which are situated on rising ground with easy access to a sizeable public park. The premises, known as the <i>Channel School of English</i> , comprise a two-storey house and outbuildings which were specifically constructed to provide extra capacity. There is also a covered outdoor area and some grassed open ground, both of which are furnished with barbecue-style tables. The accommodation comprises 14 classrooms of varying sizes, reception and offices, a small computer room for students, a staff kitchen and a teachers' workroom.

Student profile	At inspection	In peak week: July (organisation's estimate)		
Of all international students, approximate percentage on ELT/ESOL courses	100	100		
ELT/ESOL students (eligible courses)	At inspection	In peak week		
Full-time ELT (15+ hours per week) 18 years and over	0	0		
Full-time ELT (15+ hours per week) aged 16–17 years	29	46		
Full-time ELT (15+ hours per week) aged under 16	171	207		
Part-time ELT aged 18 years and over	N/a	N/a		
Part-time ELT aged 16–17 years	N/a	N/a		
Part-time ELT aged under 16 years	N/a	N/a		
Overall total ELT/ESOL students shown above	200	253		
Junior programmes: advertised minimum age	7	7		
Junior programmes: actual minimum age	7	7		
Junior programmes: advertised maximum age	17	17		
Junior programmes: actual maximum age	17	17		

Junior programmes: predominant nationalities	German, Czech, Italian, French, Spanish, Chinese	German, Spanish, French, Czech, Italian	
	French, Spanish, Chinese	CZecn, Italian	
Adult programmes: advertised minimum age	N/a	N/a	
Adult programmes: actual minimum age	N/a	N/a	
Adult programmes: typical age range	N/a	N/a	
Adult programmes: typical length of stay	N/a	N/a	
Adult programmes: predominant nationalities	N/a	N/a	
Number on PBS Tier 4 General student visas	N/a	N/a	
Number on PBS Tier 4 child visas	N/a	N/a	
Number on short-term study visas	17	21	

Staff profile	At inspection	In peak week (organisation's estimate)	
Total number of teachers on eligible ELT courses	8	10	
Number teaching ELT 20 hours and over a week	6		
Number teaching ELT 10–19 hours a week	2		
Number teaching ELT under 10 hours a week	0		
Number of academic managers for eligible ELT courses	2	2	
Number of management (non-academic) and administrative staff working on eligible ELT courses	6		
Total number of support staff	14		

# Academic manager qualifications profile

Profile at inspection	
Professional qualifications	Number of academic managers
TEFLQ qualification	2
Academic managers without TEFLQ qualification or 3 years relevant experience (NB Rationales need to be prepared for academic managers in this category)	N/a
Total	2
Comments	

None.

None.

# Teacher qualifications profile

Profile in week of inspection			
Professional qualifications	Number of teachers		
TEFLQ qualification	0		
TEFLI qualification	7		
Holding specialist qualifications only (specify)	N/a		
YL initiated	N/a		
Qualified teacher status only (QTS)	0		
Teachers without appropriate ELT/TESOL qualification	1		
Total	8		
Comments	·		

Course profile

Eligible activities	Year round		Vacation		Other - N/a	
	Run	Seen	Run	Seen	Run	Seen
General ELT for adults						
General ELT for juniors (under 18)	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
English for academic purposes (excludes IELTS preparation)						
English for specific purposes (includes English for Executives)						
Teacher development (excludes award-bearing courses)						
ESOL skills for life/for citizenship						
Other						

### Comments

The school offers mini stays of five to six days for school groups throughout the year, except Easter, July and August. During Easter, July and August courses are for seven to 17 year-olds (groups or individuals). The course package includes English and one activity selected from a list of eleven, including scuba diving, football and street dance. The activities form an integral part of the course structure and are outside the remit of this inspection. Students can only change their chosen activity at the end of a week. In addition, a leisure programme is provided for three half-days, three evenings and one full day per week.

**Accommodation profile** 

Number of students in each at the time of inspection (all students on eligible courses)				
Types of accommodation	Adults	Under 18s		
Arranged by provider/agency				
Homestay	N/a	193		
Private home	N/a	N/a		
Home tuition	N/a	N/a		
Residential	N/a	N/a		
Hotel/guesthouse	N/a	N/a		
Independent self-catering e.g. flats, bedsits, student houses	N/a	N/a		
Arranged by student/family/guardian				
Staying with own family	N/a	7		
Staying in privately rented rooms/flats	N/a	N/a		
Overall totals adults/under 18s	N/a	200		
Overall total adults + under 18s 200				

# Introduction

Country Cousins (CC) is a long-established family-run organisation originally set up in 1951 to enable children and teenagers to spend holidays with local families. English teaching was introduced in 1973, and the current Channel School of English (CSE) premises in Ilfracombe were acquired in 1980. The present owners took over the business in April 2006. CC is the holding company and operates out of CSE. Courses are advertised as CC courses, and CSE is the English language school it currently runs. CC also runs guardianship services, and a fleet of buses and minibuses, and private school placements.

Since the previous inspection, the number of activities available for students to choose from has increased from seven to eleven. The previous director of studies (DoS) left the school in August 2016. A TEFLI senior teacher with twenty-two years of teaching experience in the school provided academic support in the winter months when needed until an appropriately qualified DoS was appointed in March 2017.

Several years ago, the school and local police force set up a joint venture in the town to enable local youth to interact with the international students (PALS). Local youth, mainly the children of homestay providers, sometimes participate in events in the school and go on trips with them. This programme won a British Council innovation award in 2014. There were five to seven PALS in the daytime at the time of the inspection and ten in the evenings.

Over half the students come in groups and nearly all students come through agencies. There are a small number of direct bookings (two per cent) and nearly 14 per cent are returning students.

The inspection lasted two days. Meetings were held with the managing director and the school principal (the owners), DoS, assistant director of studies (ADoS), welfare and accommodation manager (WAM), and operations manager (OM). A separate meeting was held with the managing director to discuss his role as social programme co-ordinator. Group meetings were held with students, teachers, group leaders (GL), and activity leaders (AL). Informal discussions were held with individual drivers. All teachers timetabled during the inspection were observed and departures to the activity programme were sampled. One inspector visited three homestays.

# Management

Legal and statutory regulations

Criteria	See comments			
M1 Declaration of compliance				
Comments				
M1 The items sampled were satisfactory.				

Staff management

Criteria	Not met	Met	Met Strength		N/a
M2 Management structure					
M3 Duties specified			N/a		
M4 Communication channels					
M5 Human resources policies					
M6 Qualifications verified			N/a		
M7 Induction procedures			$\boxtimes$		
M8 Monitoring staff performance					
M9 Professional development			$\boxtimes$		

# Comments

M2 The year-round permanent management team consists of the two owners, who act as managing director and school principal, the DoS, OM and WAM. Other management and administrative roles are temporary. Responsibility is mainly clearly divided and roles are understood by all staff, GLs and the students. Cover arrangements are clear and appropriate. However, there is some overlap in the roles of principal and WAM in relation to homestay providers.

M4 In most areas communication is very good. There are regular meetings with staff and there are formal meetings with the GLs twice a week. Meetings are minuted. Communication is constant and immediate through the use of radio transceivers with activity staff and drivers, and group messaging applications for different categories of staff. However, there could be more cross communication between the activity and academic staff.

M7 There is thorough induction for all staff in paid time and the process is supported by checklists. For new administrative staff a job shadowing system is in place. All staff receive copies of all the relevant policies, with a particular focus on safeguarding. Staff commented favourably on their inductions.

M8 Managers are given an appropriate appraisal twice a year and temporary staff are appraised at the end of their contract.

M9 Management and support staff have attended training sessions on a range of topics including first aid,

M10 Administrative staff and resources		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
M11 Information on course choice		$\boxtimes$			
M12 Enrolment procedures		$\boxtimes$			
M13 Contact details			$\boxtimes$		
M14 Student attendance policy		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
M15 Students asked to leave course		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
Comments					
M10 The management team handles mo course administration is carried out by ow M13 Student records sampled were comemergency contacts. The records are he authorised staff. M14 An effective policy is in place and is and absences followed up immediately, whandbook and the student manual. M15 A very clear discipline and exclusion handbook. It includes a staged process wappropriate sanctions.	verseas agencies plete and up to ld both on pape clear to studen with the support	es. Cover arrang date with full de er and electronic ts and teachers of GLs. Writter ed on the school	gements are sate stails of students cally, and are re . Classroom chardetails are included website, and is	tisfactory. s' local address adily accessible ecks are made luded in the tead s available in the	es and to by the ADoS chers'
Quality assurance					
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
M16 Action plan			N/a		
M17 Continuing improvement					
M18 Student feedback and action					
M19 Staff feedback and action					
M20 Complaints and action		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
Comments					
M17 Systems and procedures are under from staff, students and GLs to evaluate M18 Students give verbal feedback regu action is taken. Written individual student the end of their stay. Feedback is analys M19 The school has adequate means in suggestions box. There was no evidence M20 Most students' complaints are dealt	the year's programmer the year's programmer to their GL to their t	ramme and to n s, who commun illected in the m y. staff feedback, en was systema ely with the assis	nake plans for the licate it to centre iddle of the first including staff ratically recorded stance of the Glaspects of their	he coming year, e staff, and whe week of the comeetings, apprais.  Ls. There is clear course. There	n necessary urse and at isals and a ar information
in the student handbook about who to tal indication of an ultimate option of compla		endent body on	the school web	0.101	
		endent body on	the school web		
indication of an ultimate option of compla		Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
indication of an ultimate option of complate Publicity	aint to an indepe			See	N/a
Publicity Criteria	Not met	Met		See comments	N/a
Publicity Criteria M21 Accessible accurate language	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
Publicity Criteria M21 Accessible accurate language M22 Realistic expectations	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a

safeguarding and health and safety. There is a clear and appropriate continuing professional development policy (CPD) for temporary teachers during the summer period. Support is available for upgrading qualifications.

Met

Strength

Not met

See

comments

N/a

Student administration

Criteria

M26 Accommodation	$\boxtimes$			
M27 Leisure programme	$\boxtimes$			
M28 Staff qualifications		N/a	$\boxtimes$	
M29 Accreditation	$\boxtimes$	N/a		

### Comments

The school's publicity includes a website, redesigned in March 2017, a brochure, which can be downloaded from the website, a newsletter, USB cards and a manual for agents, and a social media presence.

M21 The text of the publicity is written in English that is mainly appropriate to the level of the students. It is accurate and clear throughout. There is a Chinese version of the brochure and the website can be translated electronically into over 100 languages.

M22 Information about the services offered is detailed and accurate, and pictures are attractive and helpful. A claim made about the school's British Council innovation award was updated during the inspection.

M24 The information covered by this criterion is detailed and very easy to find.

M25 All the information covered by this criterion is very clear and well laid out for ease of access.

M28 Publicity claims that all teaching staff are qualified, but one teacher at the time of the inspection did not have an appropriate TEFL qualification.

# **Management summary**

The provision meets the section standard and exceeds it in some respects. Staff management and administration are well handled and operate to the benefit of students. Quality assurance procedures facilitate review and aim at continuous improvement. Publicity is generally accurate and produced to a good standard overall. *Student administration* and *Quality assurance* are areas of strength.

#### Resources and environment

### **Premises and facilities**

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
R1 Adequate space		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
R2 Condition of premises			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
R3 Classrooms and learning areas		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
R4 Student relaxation areas and food		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
R5 Signage and display		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
R6 Staffroom(s)					

### Comments

R1 Internal areas vary greatly in terms of size and comfort, but are satisfactory overall.

R2 The building is in a good state of repair and clean throughout. Décor suits the student population and is in keeping with the brand of the provider. Thought has been given to making premises which are restricted in size and difficult to access as suitable and attractive as possible.

R3 Most classrooms are of an adequate size for the number of students using them but some are cramped and are stuffy in hot weather.

R4 There is a covered outdoor area which provides a pleasant environment for relaxation outside class times, together with a grassed area. Students also have access to the adjacent public park. Facilities suitable for use in poor weather are limited and students use classrooms when necessary. Packed lunches are provided to students by their homestay hosts and barbeque-style food is available to purchase at lunchtime. There are several vending machines.

R5 Directional signage is clear and consistent and helps newcomers find their way round a small but complex site. Noticeboards are visually attractive, informative and regularly maintained.

Learning resources

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
R7 Learning materials for students					

R10 Self-access facilities					$\boxtimes$
R11 Library/self-access guidance					$\boxtimes$
R12 Review and development					
Comments					
R7 Learning materials for all classes, too by the current DoS for online access and material in packages is also available. R8 As well as links to online resources, to coursebooks. However, most of the print R9 Projectors and tablets are available for well maintained. The managing director, maintenance issues.	d are mainly appoint in the service is a range material is interest or classroom us	oropriate for the of print resource nded for adult se, and there are	students in the es available to tudents and not e computers ava	school. Some of teachers, include to for younger lead ailable for stude	older print ing arners. nt use. All are
Resources and environment summary	ı				
The provision meets the section standar environment for students and staff. Most enrolled with the provider.	d. Although the				
Teaching and learning					
Academic staff profile				See	
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	comments	N/a
T1 General education (and rationales)			N/a		
T2 ELT/TESOL teacher qualifications					
T3 Rationales for teachers			N/a		
T4 Profile of academic manager(s)			$\boxtimes$		
T5 Rationale for academic manager(s)			N/a		
Comments					
T2 One teacher did not have an appropr T3 The rationale was accepted within the TEFL course with six hours' observed te years of teaching English in further educ T4 The DoS has been employed by Coutime basis since February 2017. She has juniors, including teaching, teacher traini appropriate qualifications and seventeen has worked for three years on other sum with Country Cousins.	e context of this aching practice ation. ntry Cousins to appropriate quing, and ELT may years of ELT to	inspection. The and had comple work at the Cha alifications and anagement in a eaching experie	eted a PGCE (E annel School of twenty-six year summer school nce, including to	English), followe English on a pers of experience . The assistant en years with ju	d by two ermanent full- working with ADoS has niors. She
Academic management				See	
Academic management			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	366	N/a
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	comments	IV/a
•	Not met	Met ⊠	Strength	comments	П
Criteria	_				
Criteria T6 Deployment of teachers	_			$\boxtimes$	
Criteria T6 Deployment of teachers T7 Timetabling					

 $\boxtimes$ 

 $\boxtimes$ 

 $\boxtimes$ 

R8 Resources for teachers

R9 Educational technology

 $\boxtimes$ 

 $\boxtimes$ 

T11 Observation and monitoring		$\boxtimes$						
Comments	Comments							
T6 Teachers are responsible for two groups (one in the morning and one in the afternoon) for a three-week period. Each class is taught by two teachers. Teachers are allocated to classes according to their experience and preferences.  T7 A complex timetable is in operation, which is flexible and responsive to need, and partly zig zag. Courses are divided into 'sets' (Set A: 15–17 years-old; Set B: 12–15 years-old; Set C: 11–13 years-old + young learners 7–10 years-old). Each set has a separate programme which interlocks with the other sets and consists of at least one block of English lessons in the morning per week. Students are then divided into groups according to their age, level and the activity they have selected. Teachers are made aware of the week's topic online before the start of the week but do not know the level of the students until the end of the first day after testing.  T8 Cover is provided by the ADoS and the DoS. Neither has a regular teaching commitment. There was no evidence that they had been called upon to the detriment of their academic management duties. Classes are never cancelled or doubled-up.  T10 The DoS and ADoS provide a high level of guidance and support for teachers. There is an appropriate CPD programme linked to observations. Programmes of work and materials are provided for the teachers online and each teacher is given a 'tool box' containing tablets, board pens etc. Teachers were warm in their praise of the support they were given.								
Course design and implementation				Coo				
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a			
T12 Principled course structure		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$				
T13 Review of course design				$\boxtimes$				
T14 Course outlines and outcomes		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$				
T15 Study and learning strategies		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$				
T16 Linguistic benefit from UK		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$				
T12 Courses are based on a recently introduced three-week syllabus, which identifies a daily topic and a weekly theme. Flexibility is encouraged to meet the specific needs of a class. The principles are clearly stated to teachers and students in their handbooks.  T13 The syllabus was completely rewritten earlier in the year by the newly appointed DoS. As yet there has been no formal review involving student feedback.  T14 Each week each class uses the same theme as the basis for language practice appropriate to their level, and each classroom has an attractively presented poster outlining the week's learning objectives.  T15 Each student is given a professionally produced workbook containing activities which integrate into the lesson, as well as self-assessment reflection tasks.  T16 In the second lesson of the day teachers are expected to link lessons more closely to the students' stay in llfracombe, and include such activities as preparing questions for the homestay hosts and PALS helpers. The workbook contains a section for each day on using English outside the classroom.								
Learner management				_				
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a			
T17 Placement for level and age								
T18 Monitoring students' progress		$\boxtimes$						
T19 Examination guidance								
T20 Assessment criteria		$\boxtimes$						
T21 Academic reports		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$				
T22 Information on UK education					$\boxtimes$			
Comments								
T19 Ten students were taking an external speaking examination. They were mixed into general classes and taken out for four sessions of exam preparation in a two- to three-week period.								

T21 Students receive an academic report including a final grade summary and personalised comment.						
9						
9						
English lessons in the morning.						
One of the observations was of the ADoS acting as cover teacher.						

#### Classroom observation

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
T23 Models and awareness of English in use	$\boxtimes$				
T24 Appropriate content					
T25 Learning outcomes		$\boxtimes$			
T26 Teaching techniques		$\boxtimes$			
T27 Classroom management		$\boxtimes$			
T28 Feedback to students	$\boxtimes$				
T29 Evaluating student learning		$\boxtimes$			
T30 Student engagement		$\boxtimes$			

#### Comments

T23 Most classes were activity based and teachers were not required to show their knowledge of linguistic systems. When vocabulary was dealt with too little information was given about pronunciation and usage and the words were not practised.

T24 Lesson content was based on the syllabus and online materials. It was generally relevant to the students' needs and interests and appropriate to their ages. The more advanced level materials provided a suitable challenge to the learners but some of the material for the younger learners was less appropriate for the context. In the weaker lesson segments observed there was too little awareness of individual learning needs and teachers were insufficiently aware of the cultural backgrounds of the students. Class profiles were mainly over general.

T25 There was little evidence of learning outcomes made explicit and shared with the students. However, activities were logically planned and delivered, and were linked to the syllabus.

T26 A variety of techniques relevant to the age of the students and the focus of the lesson was observed in the better lesson segments. These included class mingles, pair work and games, and getting students to help with boardwork. However, some of the techniques used were less effective with younger learners.

T27 In general the classes were well managed and classroom furniture was arranged to maximise student interaction. Good use was made of projectors, tablets and mobile telephones for learning purposes. Boardwork was less effective.

T28 Hardly any correction was given when it would have been appropriate to do so. In general students did not receive sufficient feedback on their performance after speaking activities.

T29 In the better segments teachers reviewed work done in previous lessons.

T30 Language was mainly well graded, and in the main instructions were clear. There was a positive and purposeful atmosphere in most classes, but in some segments observed too little use was made of personalisation to engage the students.

### Classroom observation summary

The teaching observed met the requirements of the Scheme and ranged from good to just satisfactory with a majority of the lesson segments observed being satisfactory. Lessons were syllabus based, and lesson content was mainly relevant to the interests, ages and cultural background of the students. Techniques were generally varied and appropriate, particularly for the older learners, but there was insufficient support for new vocabulary. Technical resources were well used to meet the needs and interests of the students. There were many missed opportunities for error correction and for giving feedback on performance. Students were active in most classes and there was a positive learning atmosphere, but more use could have been made of personalisation to engage the students.

### **Teaching and learning summary**

The provision meets the section standard and exceeds it in some respects. Most teachers are appropriately

qualified to teach the course offered, and are given good academic management support to ensure their teaching meets the needs of the students. Programmes of learning are very well designed and well managed. The teaching met the requirements of the Scheme. *Course design* is an area of strength.

# Welfare and student services

#### Care of students

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
W1 Safety and security onsite		$\boxtimes$			
W2 Pastoral care		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
W3 Personal problems		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
W4 Dealing with abusive behaviour		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
W5 Emergency contact number		$\boxtimes$	N/a		
W6 Transport and transfers		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
W7 Advice		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
W8 Medical and dental treatment		$\boxtimes$	N/a		

### Comments

W1 Overall, the criterion is met. Safety and security is taken seriously and there are good measures in place. There is CCTV on site, visitors sign in and wear visitor lanyards, all staff wear staff lanyards and students wear wrist bands. Fire drills are held every month, and fire and electrical equipment is checked regularly. Risk assessments cover most areas but not all potential risks are identified, and not all assessments have an appropriate action plan with date

W2 Students receive good levels of pastoral care. All the administrative and management staff interact with the students on a daily basis and problems are quickly identified and dealt with appropriately. There was evidence of staff dealing sensitively with issues such as homesickness.

W3 Staff are clearly identified in the student handbook, at the induction meeting and on the photoboard outside reception. In the student handbook the principal is identified as the person to speak to with personal issues, but in practice students speak to the principal, the accommodation and welfare officer, or either of the administrative staff on reception.

W4 The student handbook sets out the student behaviour policy very clearly and in language appropriate for young students. The policy is framed positively; students are expected to be polite, kind and respectful to each other and to staff,, and examples are given of what this means. There was recent evidence of staff upholding this policy when dealing with a disagreement between two boys in homestay accommodation. A three-stage disciplinary procedure is clear

W5 Three members of staff rotate responsibility for the emergency phone on a daily basis.

W6 Students are encouraged to use the school's own transfer service, using the school's fleet of mini buses and drivers. Costs for this are clear.

W7 Students are given a great deal of useful information in the student handbook. This is appropriately presented for the age group of the students, with good use of colour and pictures. The student workbook incorporates the main points of the information given about rules, regulations and the law in an 'agreement' which students sign.

W8 Students are encouraged to take out medical insurance but are taken to the local medical centre or local accident and emergency department when necessary. There is a good relationship between the school and these local services.

## **Accommodation profile**

Comments on the accommodation seen by the inspectors

The three homestays visited were within a fifteen-minute walk of the school. All three offered shared twin rooms, although one was hosting a single student. One provider had a separate bathroom for the students' use.

Accommodation: all types

Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a
W9 Services and facilities		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
W10 Accommodation inspected first		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
W11 Accommodation re-inspected		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	

W12 Accommodation registers	$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$			
W13 Information in advance		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$			
W14 Student feedback		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$			
W15 Meals in homestay/residences		$\boxtimes$					
Comments							
W9 One of the homestays visited offered a room that was rather small for two students to share but which had the required facilities. All homestays visited met the requirements of the Scheme.  W10 A clear checklist is used to ensure that all prospective homestay providers have Gas Safe certificates, fire risk assessments, two references and DBS checks for the household.  W11 The safeguarding policy states that accommodation is visited every 12–18 months, but the WAM stated that these visits took place every two years.  W12 Homestay providers are given assistance in completing all the necessary paperwork, and files were generally complete. However, several homestays did not have Gas Safe certificates on file. All but one of these were produced by the end of the inspection. The database does not contain information about visit or certificate renewal dates, meaning that staff have to consult individual paper files to retrieve this information.  W13 Students' parents receive a letter containing information about their child's homestay provider, whether or not they will be sharing a bedroom and whether they will be walking to school or catching the school bus. The information is comprehensive and useful, but long and consequently difficult to access for parents whose level of English is not high.  W14 Students are asked for feedback at the beginning and end of their courses. Any issues with accommodation identified in the initial feedback are followed up promptly, and all feedback is fed into the database for further analysis by the director. There was evidence of the principal and WAM being proactive in dealing with the potential isolation of a student and making changes to accommodation to facilitate greater integration.							
Accommodation: homestay							
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a		
W16 No more than four students		$\boxtimes$	N/a				
W17 Rules, terms and conditions		$\boxtimes$					
W18 Shared bedrooms		$\boxtimes$	N/a				
W19 Students' first language		$\boxtimes$	N/a	$\boxtimes$			
W20 Language of communication		$\boxtimes$	N/a				
W21 Adult to welcome		$\boxtimes$	N/a	$\boxtimes$			
Comments							
W17 A comprehensive booklet gives hosts a great deal of useful and relevant information, including payment schedules, cancellations and the time students must be home. W19 Some students were sharing rooms with students with the same language. This had been the arrangement with their agent over a number of years and written confirmation documenting the agreement was obtained during the inspection. W21 Hosts pick up their students from a central meeting point before taking them home on their first day.							
Accommodation: residential							
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a		
W22 Cleaning							
W23 Health							
Comments							
None.							
Accommodation: other				-			
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a		

W25 Other accommodation			N/a					
Comments								
None.								
Leisure opportunities								
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a			
W26 Information and access		$\boxtimes$						
W27 Leisure programmes		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$				
W28 Health and safety		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$				
W29 Responsible person		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$				
Comments								
wide variety of sporting activities and excursions, many of which involve local children. Photos are posted on social media sites.  W27 The leisure programme is varied, though with a focus on sport. It is efficiently organised and well resourced. Students have three day activities, three evening activities and one full day excursion included in their course package. Activity leaders run all the activities and commented on the usefulness of the preparation packs they receive and the smooth running of all activities. School buses take the students to and from their various destinations.  W28 Written risk assessments for all activities are read and signed by the activity leaders. Several members of staff, including two activity leaders, have first aid training.  W29 All sporting activities are led by qualified coaches, with the appropriate training, experience and certification. Students benefit from being involved in local sports clubs and receiving expert tuition, often together with local children.  Welfare and student services summary  The provision meets the section standard and exceeds it in some respects. Students are well cared for in a secure environment. The accommodation meets the requirements of the scheme and the management of the accommodation systems is generally good, working to the benefit of students. Leisure opportunities are plentiful, appropriate and well managed. Care of students and Leisure opportunities are areas of strength.								
Criteria	Not met	Met	Strength	See comments	N/a			
C1 Safeguarding policy		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$				
C2 Guidance and training								
C3 Publicity		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$				
C4 Recruitment procedures		$\boxtimes$	N/a					
C5 Safety and supervision during scheduled lessons and activities		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$				
C6 Safety and supervision outside scheduled lessons and activities		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$				
C7 Accommodation		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$				
C8 Contact arrangements		$\boxtimes$	N/a	$\boxtimes$				
	•	•	•	•	•			

W24 Information and support

 $\boxtimes$ 

#### Comments

The school's provision is entirely for under 18s, catering for children aged 7–17.

C1 There is a thorough safeguarding policy in place, with longer and shorter versions available for different stakeholders. The designated safeguarding lead (DSL) and two designated safeguarding persons (DSP) are identified and have appropriate training. Not all aspects of the policy have been made relevant to the organisation; for example, there is a section on 'vulnerable adults'.

C2 Managers take seriously their responsibilities of making the safeguarding policy known and providing appropriate training. All teachers and activity leaders have received basic level training, while the director, principal, WAM and DoS have all had advanced level training. Safeguarding forms part of the annual induction and other stakeholders, for example hosts and group leaders, showed a good level of awareness of the policy.

C3 The level of care and support offered to under 18s is good, but not very easily accessible as it is partly available in publicity and partly in other documentation.

C5 Students are supervised by teachers or activity leaders from 09.00–17.00 every day, including during lunch time and breaks. Students are able to use the park next to the school and activity leaders patrol this area to ensure safety.

C6 Generally, arrangements for the supervision and safety of students outside lessons and activities is good. Students, their parents and homestays are given clear home times, differentiated according to age. There are also 'free time' rules, which students and hosts receive. However, some of this information is vague; for example, students are told they must not be absent from their homestays for 'long periods of time' without checking in. Similarly, hosts are told they must inform Country Cousins of 'any information you deem necessary' regarding a student's free time.

C7 All students are placed in homestays, unless they are staying with their own families. The WAM tries to place students in homestays with children of a similar age. Younger children (7–11 year-olds) are collected and returned by the school bus, older children either walk to school or take the school bus, which stops at a variety of places, so that students have no more than a five-minute walk to the stop. All meals are provided, though students may supplement their packed lunches by buying food from the lunchtime barbeque.

C8 Parents or guardians, group leaders and agents are all provided with the 24-hour contact number of the school. Contact details for parents, guardians or agents are kept on file and accessible at all times.

# Care of under 18s summary

The provision meets the section standard and exceeds it in some respects. There is appropriate provision for the safeguarding of students under the age of 18 within the organisation with good training offered to all relevant personnel. Supervision of students is good in school, on leisure activities and in the accommodation provided. *Care of under 18s* is an area of strength.